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The considerable dissertation belongs to the theory of summability of series and sequences. The aim of this work is to study matrix transformations from the summability (or absolute summability) field of a matrix method of summability into the summability (or absolute summability) field of another matrix method of summability. In the case when the transformation matrix has a diagonal form the considerable problem is reduced to the problem of summability (or absolute summability) factors, which have been widely investigated (cf., for example, the S. Baron’s monography [36] and the articles [7,8,9,10-14,17-28,34,35,37]).

Up to now for solving the above-mentioned problem both functional analytic methods and the methods which use mainly the results from the classical theory of summability have been considered by different authors. In [15,16] the necessary and sufficient conditions for the matrix that it would transform a sequence space into another sequence space have been obtained. These conditions have been given by the properties of certain kind dual (so called the $\gamma$-dual and the second $\gamma$-dual) spaces of these sequence spaces. In the case when these sequence spaces are summability fields of summability methods the results of [15,16] are available but to describe the dual space and the second dual space for given summability field of summability method is complicated enough, not to speak about its properties. Therefore, for solving the above-mentioned problem methods which use only the properties of considerable methods of summability and the properties of continuous linear functionals on summability fields of these methods of summability are considered.

The first result in the case of non-diagonal matrix (mainly by the classical methods of theory of summability) obtained L. Alpar in 1978 (cf. [4]). He found the necessary and sufficient conditions for matrix $H = (m_{nk})$ that the transformation
transforms each convergent series $\sum x_k$ to $C^\alpha$-summable series $\sum y_n$ for $\alpha \geq 0$. After that, in 1980, he found the necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the matrix $M$ transforms each $C^\alpha$-summable series to $C^{\alpha+\beta}$-summable series for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ (cf. [5]). And, in 1982, he generalized the above-mentioned result looking now at the method $C^\beta$ instead of the method $C^{\alpha+\beta}$ (cf. [5]). In addition, in 1986, B. Thorpe generalized the result of L. Alpár (also mainly by the classical methods of the theory of summability) considering now instead of the method $C^\beta$ an arbitrary normal method of summability $B$ (cf. [29]). In this paper he found also the necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the matrix $M$ would transform each $C^\alpha$-summable series to $B$-summable series in the case when $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 0$ and $B$ is a normal method.

In the present dissertation this problem is considered more generally studying matrix transformations from a summability (or an absolute summability) field of a method $A$ into the summability (or an absolute summability) field of another method $B$ in the case when $A$ is a regular perfect or a reversible method and $B$ is an arbitrary triangular or an arbitrary method. The cases when $A$ or $A$ and $B$ both are Cesàro or Riesz methods as applications are considered.

All results of dissertation have been obtained in the period of 1984-1990 and introduced in the seminars of the department of mathematical analysis of Tartu University (in 1984-1986, 1989 and 1991), at the conferences "Problems of pure and applied mathematics" (1985, 1990) and "Methods of algebra and analysis" (1988) and in the seminar of theory of function in Ural State University (1988).

The main results of the present dissertation have been published in [1-3, 31-33].
MAIN RESULTS

The present dissertation includes an introduction, two chapters, which both consist of three paragraphs, references and the table of basic symbols. All this material has been presented on 78 pages.

In the introduction a short review of the subject, purposes and the structure of dissertation are given.

In the first chapter basic notations and notions are given which often are used later on. As follows we shall give a short account of it.

Let $M = (m_{nk})$ be a matrix over $C$. We shall often write (1) in the form $y = Mx$ or $y = (M^x)$ where $Mx = y$ as usual. Moreover, let $\omega$ be the set of all number sequences, in which the algebraic operations have been defined coordinate-wise, $x$ and $\mu$ be the subsets of $\omega$ and $A = (\alpha_{nk})$ be a matrix over $C$. We use the following notations:

$c = \{ x = (x_n) | x \in \omega \text{ and there exists finite limit } \lim_{n} x_n \}$,

c$^0 = \{ x = (x_n) | x \in c \text{ and } \lim_{n} x_n = 0 \}$,

c$^s = \{ x = (x_n) | x \in \omega \text{ and the series } \sum_{n} x_n \text{ is convergent} \}$,

$bu = \{ x = (x_n) | x \in \omega, \sum_{n} |x_n - x_{n-1}| < \infty \text{ and } x_1 = 0 \}$,

$bu^0 = \{ x = (x_n) | x \in bu \text{ and } \lim_{n} x_n = 0 \}$,

$x_A = \{ x = (x_n) | x \in \omega \text{ and } (A^x) \in x \}$,

$c_A = \{ x = (x_n) | (A^x) \in \omega \text{ and } \lim_{n} A^x_n = 0 \}$,

$b_M = \{ x = (x_n) | (M^x) \in \omega \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{nk} x_k = 0(1) \}$,

and

$(x, \mu) = \{ M = (m_{nk}) | m_{nk} \in C \text{ and } Mx = \mu \text{ for each } x \in \omega \}$.

The spaces $c_A$ and $bu_A$ are usually called a summability field and an absolute summability field of method $A$ respectively.

Definition. Let $M = (m_{nk})$ be a matrix. We say that two methods $A = (\alpha_{nk})$ and $B = (\beta_{nk})$ are $M$-consistent if

$$\lim_{n} A^x = \lim_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \beta_{nk} M^x$$

for each $x \in c_A$.
It is easy to see what \( M \)-consistency of methods of summability coincides with the ordinary consistency of them if \( M \) is an identity matrix.

The main results of the dissertation are proved in §2 and §3 of Chapter I. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the matrix transformations from \( c_A \) into \( c_B \) are obtained by the method of Peyerimhoff (cf., for example, [24]), but for the matrix transformations from \( c_A \) into \( b_B \), from \( c_A \) into \( b_B \), from \( b_A \) into \( c_B \) and from \( b_A \) into \( c_B \) — by the inverse transformation method (cf., for example, [34]).

Now we shall give the results of Chapter I in greater detail. For that let \( e = (1,1,...) \) and \( e^k = (0,...,0,1,0,...) \) where 1 is in \( k \)-th position. In §2 it is assumed that \( A = (a_{nk}) \) is a series-to-sequence and \( U = (a_{nk}) \) is a sequence-to-sequence transformation. If \( A = \{e^0,e^1,...\} \) and \( U\{e\} \) are fundamental sets for \( c_A \) and \( c_U \) respectively then the methods \( A \) and \( U \) are called perfect. Here it is assumed that \( c_U \) (or equivalently with it \( c_U \)) and \( c_A \) are BK-spaces (i.e. the Banach spaces where coordinate-wise convergence holds). The topologies in \( c_A \) and in \( c_U \) are defined respectively by the norm \( \|x\|_A = \sup |A|x| \) (for each \( x \in c_A \)) and by the norm \( \|x\|_U = \sup |U|x| \) (for each \( x \in c_U \)).

It is assumed that \( B = (\beta_{nk}) \) is a triangular matrix over \( \mathbb{C} \), \( M = (m_{nk}) \) is an arbitrary matrix over \( \mathbb{C} \) and \( G = (\sigma_{nk}) \) is the product of above-mentioned matrices, i.e.

\[
\sigma_{nk} = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \beta_{ns} m_{sk}.
\]

Using the method of Peyerimhoff (which is based on the properties of continuous linear functionals on \( c_U \) and \( c_A \)) the matrix transformations from \( c_U \) into \( c_B \) and from \( c_A \) into \( c_B \) are studied in §2 in the case when \( U \) and \( A \) are the regular perfect methods.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( U = (a_{nk}) \) be such a regular perfect method that \( c_U \) is a BK-space, \( B = (\beta_{nk}) \) be a triangular method and \( M = (m_{nk}) \) be an arbitrary matrix. Then \( M \in (c_U,c_B) \) if and only if \( (m_{nk}) \in cs \) for each \( s \in \mathbb{N} \).

1) there exist finite limits \( \lim_{n} \sigma_{nk} = \sigma_k \).
2) there exists finite limit \( \lim_n \sum \varepsilon_{nk} = \varepsilon \)
and there exist such functionals \( f_{al} \in (c^0_{qU})' \) that
\[
f_{al}(e^k) = \begin{cases} m_{al}, & \text{if } k \leq l, \\ 0, & \text{if } k > l, \end{cases}
\]
and
\[
\|f_{al}\|_{(c^0_{qU})'} = O(1)
\]
where the functionals \( F_n \) have been defined on \( c^0_{qU} \) by
\[
F_n(x^0) = \sum_{a=0}^n \beta_{na} f(x^0)
\]
and
\[
f_{a}(x^0) = \lim_{l \to \infty} f_{al}(x^0).
\]
Moreover, if in addition \( g, = 0 \) and \( \varepsilon = 1 \), then the methods
\( U \) and \( B \) are \( M \)-consistent.

An analog of Theorem 1 for a regular perfect method \( A \) too is
presented in §2.

Let now \( U \) be such a regular method for which \( c^0_{qU} \) is a
BK-AK-space. It means that \( c^0_{qU} \) is simultaneously a BK-space and an
AK-space (i.e. \( A \subset c^0_{qU} \) and \( \lim_n \|x^{(n)} - x\| = 0 \) for each \( x = (x_k) \in c^0_{qU} \) where \( x^{(n)} = (x_0, \ldots, x_n, 0, \ldots) \) or (cf. [30], p. 176)
in \( c^0_{qU} \) the weak convergence by the sections is valid, i.e.
\( \lim_n \|f(x^{(n)}) - f(x)\| = 0 \) for each \( x = (x_k) \in c^0_{qU} \) and \( f \in (c^0_{qU})' \),
where \( (c^0_{qU})' \) is a topological dual space of \( c^0_{qU} \). It is easy to see
that a regular method \( U \) is perfect when \( c^0_{qU} \) is a BK-AK-space,
but for each regular perfect method \( U \) the space \( c^0_{qU} \) is not
necessarily an AK-space (cf., for example, [30], p. 214 - 215).

Theorem 2. Let \( U = (a_{nk}) \) be such a regular method that \( c^0_{qU} \) is
a BK-AK-space, \( B = (\beta_{nk}) \) be a normal method and \( H = (m_{nk}) \) be an
arbitrary matrix. Then \( H \in (c^0_{qU}, c^0_{qB}) \) if and only if conditions 1)
and 2) of Theorem 1 hold and there exist functionals \( F_n \in (c^0_{qU})' \)
such that
\[
\varepsilon_{nk} = F_n(e^k)
\]
Moreover, if, in addition, \( \varepsilon_k = 0 \) and \( \varepsilon = 1 \), then the methods \( U \) and \( B \) are \( M \)-consistent.

Using the general form of continuous linear functional on \( c_U \) and on \( c_A \) for reversible methods \( U \) and \( A \) (i.e. for such methods \( U \) and \( A \) for which the systems of equations \( z = Ux \) and \( z = Ax \) have a unique solution for each \( z \in c \)) it is easy to find conditions that \( M \in (c_U, c_B) \) and \( M \in (c_A, c_B) \) in the case when \( U \) and \( A \) are regular perfect reversible methods.

**Corollary 1.** Let \( U = (a_{nk}) \) be such a regular reversible method that \( c_U \) is an \( N \)-space, \( B = (\beta_{nk}) \) be a normal method and \( M = (m_{nk}) \) be an arbitrary matrix. Then \( M \in (c_U, c_B) \) if and only if the conditions 1) and 2) of Theorem 1 have been fulfilled and there exist series \( \sum \tau_{nr} \) with the property \( \sum |\tau_{nr}| = 0(1) \) such that

\[
\varepsilon_{nk} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \tau_{nr} a_{rk}.
\]

**Corollary 2.** Let \( U = (a_{nk}) \) be a regular reversible perfect method, \( B = (\beta_{nk}) \) be a triangular method and \( M = (m_{nk}) \) be an arbitrary matrix. Then \( M \in (c_U, c_B) \) if and only if conditions 1) and 2) of Theorem 1 and conditions

3) there exist series \( \sum r_s \tau_{lr} \) with the property \( \sum |r_s \tau_{lr}| = 0(1) \) such that

\[
\sum r_s \tau_{lr} a_{rk} = \begin{cases} m_{nk}, & \text{if } k \leq l, \\ 0, & \text{if } k > l \end{cases}
\]

and

4) \( \sum |D_{ns}| = 0(1) \) where numbers \( D_{nr} \) have been defined by

\[
D_{nr} = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \beta_{ns} r_s
\]

and

\[
m_{nk} = \sum r_s \tau_{lr} a_{rk}
\]

(there the existence and absolute convergence of series \( \sum r_s \tau_{lr} \) have been guaranteed by condition 3) have been fulfilled.)
The matrix transformations from \( c_A \) into \( c_B \), from \( c_A \) into \( b_B \), from \( b_B \) into \( c_B \) and from \( b_B \) into \( b_B \) are studied by inverse transformation method in §3 of chapter I in the case when \( A \) is a reversible method and \( B \) is a triangular method or an arbitrary method. To describe these matrix transformations for the case of triangular method \( B \) the necessary and sufficient (but for the case of an arbitrary method \( B \) only the sufficient) conditions are found. It is well-known that \( c_A \) is a BK-space if \( A \) is a reversible method. Therefore in this case the members \( x_k \) of each sequence \( x = (x_k) \in c_A \) are continuous linear functionals on \( c_A \). Thus the members \( x_k \) of each sequence \( x = (x_k) \in c_A \) may be represented in the form

\[
x_k = \eta_k \mu + \sum \eta_{kl} (z_l - \mu)
\]

where \( z_l = A_l x \), \( \mu = \lim z_l \) and the sequences \( (\eta_{kn}) \) (for fixed \( n \)) and \( (\eta_{kn}) \) are the solutions of the system \( z = Ax \) for \( z_l = \delta_{ln} \) and \( z_l = \delta_{ll} \) respectively (here \( \delta_{ln} = 1 \) for \( l = n \) and \( \delta_{ln} = 0 \) for \( l \neq n \)).

Let

\[
\eta_{nk} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \eta_{nl} \eta_{lk}.
\]

We shall consider the case when \( B \) is a triangular method. Then

\[
\eta_{nk} = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \eta_{nl} \eta_{lk}.
\]

for each \( x \in c_A \) where \( y = (y_k) = (H_k x) \). By (2), (3) and some well-known results from the theory of summability (for example, the theorems of Koijima-Schur, Hahn and Knopp-Lorentz) the necessary and sufficient conditions for the above-mentioned four types of matrix transformations are proved. Here we present some of them.

Theorem 3. Let \( A = (A_{nk}) \) be a reversible method, \( B = (B_{nk}) \) be a triangular method and \( M = (m_{nk}) \) be an arbitrary matrix. Then

\[
H \in (c_A, c_B) \text{ if and only if}
\]

1) there exist finite limits \( \lim_{p} H_{pk}^n = H_{nk} \),
2) series \( \sum_{p} m_{pk} \eta_{k} \) are convergent,
3) \( \sum_{p} H_{pk}^n = o_n(1) \),
4) there exists finite limit \( \lim_{n} \sum_{k} m_{nk} \eta_{k} = \xi \).
Let $A = (\alpha_{nk})$ be a reversible method, $B = (\beta_{nk})$ be a triangular method, and $M = (m_{nk})$ be an arbitrary matrix. Then $H \in (b_{u_A}, b_{v_A})$ if and only if

1. There exist finite limits $\lim_{n} H_{nk}^n = M_{nk}$,
2. Series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^l \eta_k}{k!}$ are convergent,
3. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{nk}^n = o_n(1)$,
4. $(\eta_k) \in b_{v_B}$

and

5. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\gamma_{nl} - \gamma_{n-1,l}) \right| + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |\gamma_{0l}| = o(1)$.

Some analogs of Theorems 3 and 4 for transformations from $c_A$ into $b_{v_B}$ and from $b_{u_A}$ into $c_B$ are also proved.

At the end of this chapter we consider the case when the method $B$ is arbitrary. Let $G = (\varepsilon_{nk})$ where

$$\varepsilon_{nk} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{nk} m_{nk}.$$  

Then (3) is not necessarily valid for each $x \in c_A$ or $x \in b_{v_A}$ where $y = (M_k x)$. In the present dissertation the necessary and sufficient conditions for it are found. Using these conditions we have

**Theorem 5.** Let $A = (\alpha_{nk})$ be a reversible method, $B = (\beta_{nk})$ be an arbitrary method, and $M = (m_{nk})$ be an arbitrary matrix. Moreover, let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{nk}| < \infty$, $m_{nk} = o_k(1)$, exist finite limits $\lim_{n} H_{nk}^n = M_{nk}$, $\sum_{k=0}^{p} m_{nk} \eta_k = o(1)$. 
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and one of the conditions
\[ \sum_k |H_{pk}^n| = O(1) \]
or
\[ \sum_{k=0}^1 H_{pk}^n = O(1) \]
holds. Then there exist finite limits \( \lim_{n \to \infty} y_k^n = y_k \). Here \( H, y \in (c_A, c_B) \) if conditions 4) - 6) of Theorem 3 and \( H, y \in (b, b_A, b_B) \) if conditions 4) and 5) of Theorem 4 have been fulfilled.

The same kind of analogs of Theorem 5 hold for the classes \((c_A, b, b_B)\) and \((b, b_A, b_B)\) too.

**APPLICATIONS**

Now we shall consider the cases when \( A \) or \( A^A \) and \( B \) both are Cesàro (§1 of Chapter II) or Riesz (§2 and §3 of Chapter I) methods. Let \( A_{\alpha}^n = \left\{ \alpha \begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array} \right\} \) for each \( \alpha \in C \) and \( n \in N \). We keep in mind that the series-to-sequence method of Cesàro of order \( \alpha \) \((\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-1, -2, \ldots\}\)) , shortly \( \mathcal{C}^\alpha \) method, is defined by the matrix \((\alpha_{nk})\) where
\[
\alpha_{nk} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{n-k} / \alpha_n & \text{if } k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n, \end{cases}
\]
and the sequence-to-sequence method of Cesàro of order \( \alpha \), shortly \( \mathcal{C}^\alpha \) method, is defined by the matrix \((\alpha_{nk})\) where
\[
\alpha_{nk} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{n-k} / \alpha_n & \text{if } k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}
\]
For the description of the main results of §1 of Chapter II we put
\[
A_{\alpha+1}^\infty \varepsilon_k = \sum A_{\alpha-2}^\infty \varepsilon_k \varepsilon_k + 1
\]
for each bounded number sequence \((\varepsilon_k)\) and for each \( \alpha \in C \). If \( \Re \alpha > -1 \) or \( \alpha = -1 \) then \( \sum |A_{\alpha}^\infty \varepsilon_k \varepsilon_k + 1| < \infty \). By Corollary 2 we have
Theorem 6. Let \( B = (\beta_{nk}) \) be a triangular method, \( M = (m_{nk}) \) be an arbitrary matrix and \( \alpha \) be such a complex number for which \( \text{Re} \alpha > 0 \) or \( \alpha = 0 \). Then \( M \in (c^{\alpha}, c_B) \) if and only if
\[
m_{nk} = 0_n (k - \text{Re} \alpha),
\]
\[
\sum_k (k + 1)^{\text{Re} \alpha} \left| \Delta_{k+1} m_{nk} \right| < \infty,
\]
\[
\sum_k (k + 1)^{\text{Re} \alpha} \left| \Delta_{k+1} g_{nk} \right| = O(1)
\]
and conditions 1) and 2) of Theorem 1 have been fulfilled.

In addition, by Theorem 3 we have

Theorem 7. Let \( B = (\beta_{nk}) \) be a triangular method, \( M = (m_{nk}) \) be an arbitrary matrix and \( \alpha \) be such a complex number for which \( \text{Re} \alpha > 0 \) or \( \alpha = 0 \). Then \( M \in (c^{\alpha}, c_B) \) if and only if
\[
m_{nk} = 0_n (k - \text{Re} \alpha),
\]
\[
\sum_k (k + 1)^{\text{Re} \alpha} \left| \Delta_{k+1} m_{nk} \right| < \infty,
\]
\[
\sum_k (k + 1)^{\text{Re} \alpha} \left| \Delta_{k+1} g_{nk} \right| = O(1)
\]
and condition 1) of Theorem 1 has been fulfilled.

Moreover, if \( \ell_k \equiv 1 \) then the methods \( C^{\alpha} \) and \( B \) are \( M \)-consistent.

For a normal method \( B \) the condition (4) in Theorem 6 and the condition (5) in Theorem 7 are redundant. Some generalizations of the results of [4-6,29] follow from Theorem 7 in particular.

Furthermore, let \( (\rho_n) \) be a sequence of non-zero complex numbers, \( P_n = \rho_0 + \ldots + \rho_n \neq 0 \) for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( P_{-1} = 0 \), \( (R, \rho_n) = (\alpha_{nk}) \) and \( (R, \rho_n) = (\alpha_{nk}) \) be respectively the series-to-sequence and sequence-to-sequence Riesz methods generated by \( (\rho_n) \), i.e.
\[
\alpha_{nk} = \begin{cases} 1 - P_{k-1}/P_n \quad \text{if } k \leq n, \\ 0 \quad \text{if } k > n \end{cases}
\]
and

$$\alpha_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_k}{p_n} & \text{if } k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, let

$$\Delta \alpha_{nk} = \alpha_{nk} - \alpha_{n,k+1}$$

and $B = (\beta_{nk})$ be an arbitrary triangular method. By Corollary 1 and Theorems 3 and 4 hold

Theorem 8. Let $(R, p_n)$ be a regular method, $B = (\beta_{nk})$ be a normal method and $M = (m_{nk})$ be an arbitrary matrix. Then $M \in c_{(R, p_n), c_B}$ if and only if

$$e_{nk} = e_n(p_k),$$

$$\sum_k \left| \frac{\Delta m_{nk}}{p_k} \right| < \infty,$$

and conditions 1) and 2) of Theorem 1 have been fulfilled.

Theorem 9. Let $(R, p_n)$ be a conservative method, $B = (\beta_{nk})$ be a triangular method and $M = (m_{nk})$ be an arbitrary matrix. Then $M \in c_{(R, p_n), c_B}$ if and only if

$$\sum_k \left| \frac{\Delta e_{nk}}{p_k} \right| = o(1),$$

and conditions 1) of Theorem 1 has been fulfilled.

Moreover, if $e_k = 1$ then the methods $(R, p_n)$ and $B$ are $M$-consistent.

Theorem 10. Let $(R, p_n)$ be an absolutely conservative method, $B = (\beta_{nk})$ be a triangular method and $M = (m_{nk})$ be an arbitrary matrix. Then $M \in (b_{(R, p_n)}, b_{(B)})$ if and only if

$$p_{km_{nk}} = o_{n}(p_k),$$

$$p_k \Delta m_{nk} = o_{n}(p_k).$$

(7)
\[ \sum_k |\varepsilon_{n_k} - \varepsilon_{n-1,k}| = \mathcal{O}(1) \]

and

\[ P_k \sum_k |\Delta(\varepsilon_{n_k} - \varepsilon_{n-1,k})| = \mathcal{O}(\rho_k) \]

where \( \varepsilon_{-1,k} = 0 \).

It is shown that for a normal method \( B \) the condition (6) in Theorem 9 and the condition (7) in Theorem 10 are redundant. Moreover, for the case of the triangular method \( B \) the necessary and sufficient conditions for transformations from \( c(R, p_n) \) into \( bv_B \) and from \( bv(R, p_n) \) into \( c_B \) are found too.

By Theorem 5 we have

**Theorem 11.** Let \( (R, p) \) be a conservative method, \( B = (\beta_{n,k}) \) be a method which satisfies the condition \( \Sigma_k |\beta_{n_k}| < \infty \), and \( M = (m_{nk}) \) be an arbitrary matrix. If

\[ P_{nk} m_{nk} = \mathcal{O}(\rho_k), \]

\[ \sum_k \left| P_k \Delta m_{nk} \right| = \mathcal{O}(1), \]

\[ \sum_k \left| P_k \Delta \varepsilon_{nk} \right| = \mathcal{O}(1) \]

and condition 1) of Theorem 1 has been fulfilled then \( M \in \text{e}(c(R, p_n), c_B) \).

**Theorem 12.** Let \( (R, p_n) \) be an absolutely conservative method, \( B = (\beta_{n,k}) \) be a method which satisfies the condition \( \Sigma_k |\beta_{n_k}| < \infty \) and \( M = (m_{nk}) \) be an arbitrary matrix. If

\[ P_{nk} m_{nk} = \mathcal{O}(\rho_k), \]

\[ P_{nk} \Delta m_{nk} = \mathcal{O}(\rho_k), \]

\[ \sum_k |\varepsilon_{nk} - \varepsilon_{n-1,k}| = \mathcal{O}(1) \]

and

\[ P_k \sum_k |\Delta(\varepsilon_{nk} - \varepsilon_{n-1,k})| = \mathcal{O}(\rho_k) \]

where \( \varepsilon_{-1,k} = 0 \) then \( M \in (bv(R, p_n), bv_B) \).
The sufficient conditions for the transformations from \( c(R_p) \) into \( bv \) and from \( bv(R_p) \) into \( c_b \) are also given. The case when \( B \) is a Riesz method is considered separately.
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RESUMEE

Antud väitekirjas vaadeldav probleem kuulub summeerunuse teooria valdkonda. Olgu \( A = (\alpha_{nk}) \) ja \( B = (\beta_{nk}) \) maatriksmenetlused üle \( \mathbb{C} \) ning \( H = (m_{nk}) \) maatriks üle \( \mathbb{C} \). Peale selle, olgu \( c_\Lambda \) ja \( b_\Lambda \) vastavalt menetluse \( A \) summeerunusvääli ning absoluutse summeerunuse vääli. Lisaks eeldatakse, et \( c_\Lambda \) on BK-ruum. Väitekirjas uuritakse maatriksteisendusi ruumidest \( \text{ruumi } c_\Lambda \) või \( \text{ruumidesse } c_B \) või \( \text{ruumidesse } c_B \). Peyerimhoffi meetodiga leitakse tarvilikud ja piisavad tingimused selleks, et maatriks \( H \) teisendaks ruumi \( c_\Lambda \) ruumi \( c_B \) juhul, kui \( A \) on regulaarne perfektsne menetlus ja \( B \) on kolmnurkne menetlus. Seejuures jada-jada teisendusega antud menetluse \( A \) jaoks vaadeldakse eraldi juhut, kus \( c_\Lambda^0 \) (menetlusega \( A \) nulliks summeeruvate jada) ruum \( \text{AK-ruum ja B on normaale menetlus.} \)

Pöördteisenduse meetodiga leitakse aga tarvilikud ja piisavad tingimused selleks, et maatriks \( H \) teisendaks ruumid \( c_\Lambda \) või \( \text{ruumidesse } c_B \) või \( \text{ruumidesse } c_B \) juhul, kui \( A \) on reversiivne menetlus ja \( B \) on kolmnurkne menetlus. Suvalise menetluse \( B \) korral leitakse nimetatud nelja tüüpi teisenduste jaoks ainult piisavad tingimused.

Rakendustena vaadeldakse juhtumeid, kus menetluss \( A \) või menetlused \( A \) ja \( B \) mõlemad on kas Riesz kaalutud keskmiste menetlused või Cesàro menetlused.