

# Antonio Elio “Cipher” and his Polyphonic-Syllabic Cipher

**George Lasry**

The DECRYPT Project  
george.lasry@gmail.com

**Marcello Simonetta**

The Medici Archive Project  
marcello.simonetta@gmail.com

**Norbert Biermann**

Universität der Künste Berlin  
mail@norbertbiermann.de

## Abstract

Antonio Elio (Helius) (1506–1576) was a Roman Catholic prelate who served as Bishop of Capodistria and Pola and Titular Patriarch of Jerusalem. Also a prolific cryptographer in the service of Pope Paul III, he is credited for the invention of polyphonic ciphers. In this article, we provide an overview of his career and work in cryptography and describe an ingenious polyphonic-syllabic cipher he designed. Although several matching plaintext-ciphertext segments were available, reconstructing the cipher key required a significant and unusual amount of time, underscoring the cipher’s high level of security. Ciphertext-only cryptanalysis for such a cipher would be extremely difficult and nearly impossible, even with modern computing, without prior knowledge of the principles of its complex design.

## 1. Biography

In April 1535, Bernardo Boccarini, the secretary to the papal nuncio in France, Rodolfo Pio da Carpi, wrote to a colleague a facetious letter in which he complained about the fatigue of his own profession and, while sketching the portrait of the “perfect secretary,” he asked him to send nice words to “Antonio” so that he would not perceive all the curses (“cancari”) he had in store against him for having created his own set of ciphers that made him sweat so much.<sup>1</sup> By then, this nearly twenty-year-old man had already created a reputation for himself so that he was universally known as “Antonio delle Zifre.”

Antonio was born in Capodistria<sup>2</sup> in the first decade of the 16th century in a noble family.<sup>3</sup> He gave early signs of his brilliance and was recommended to his compatriot Aurelio Vergerio, the resident cryptographer (“a

secretis”) in Clement VII’s curia. After Aurelio’s tragic death in 1532, Antonio took over his job and started his own career as a prelate and diplomat. His first known letter of May 1535 was addressed to Aurelio’s brother, Pier Paolo Vergerio, then a papal nuncio in Vienna at the court of Ferdinand I Habsburg, and by 1536, bishop of Capodistria. Over the next few years, the relationship between the two competing curial officers became sour over some unpaid debts, and eventually, their breakup became very public and violent.

By 1549, Vergerio left Italy and his bishopric, turning on the Protestant side, while Elio became bishop of Pola<sup>4</sup> in 1548. This shift reflected the trust that he had earned working loyally for the Farnese family under Pope Paul III. After the pope’s death, for a few months, Antonio entertained the idea of moving to Florence at the service of Duke Cosimo I de’ Medici, but he decided to stay loyal to the Farnese, even when the new pope, Julius III del Monte, waged war against Parma. Elio remained in the papal curia also under Paul IV Carafa and the following pontiffs, who always highly valued his special services.

One particularly appreciated aspect of Elio’s skills was his ability to break enemies’ codes. For instance, we learn from Medici correspondence that the Carafas systematically intercepted all outgoing diplomatic dispatches and submitted the ciphered samples to Elio. Bongianni Gianfigliuzzi, the Florentine ambassador in Rome, wrote to his duke in June 1558 that one informant told him that “*the aforementioned monsignor had deciphered many letters of*

<sup>1</sup> Bernardo Boccarini to Trifon Benci, Rouen, 4 April 1535 (*Lettere facete*, D. Atanagi ed., 1561, I, 349).

<sup>2</sup> Koper, in today’s Slovenia.

<sup>3</sup> For an introductory biography, see L. Byatt, [https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/antonio-elio\\_\(Dizionario-Biografico\)/](https://www.treccani.it/enciclopedia/antonio-elio_(Dizionario-Biografico)/)

<sup>4</sup> Pula, Croatia.

*ambassadors*, and in this genre it is an awesome thing that he does, since he saw him sometimes be handed a cipher, shut himself in a room alone, and in a short time break it. This achievement appears miraculous.”<sup>5</sup>

However, it is unclear whether anyone managed to break Elio’s ciphers. Antonio invented a new kind of encoding that, even when known, still requires a high degree of concentration and imagination to be fully decoded.

Antonio Elio, during his long service in the papal curia (1532-1572), laid the ground for the establishment of a professional cipher service, and his work significantly influenced further generations of cipher secretaries. He trained Giovanni Battista Argenti before he became the pope’s cipher secretary (Meister 1906, p.55), and in his treatise on cryptography, Matteo Argenti, Giovanni's nephew and successor, recognizes Elio’s contribution (Meister 1906, p.50, p.161).

## **2. Antonio Elio’s innovative cipher - the Challenge**

We found a document partially in cipher that exemplifies Antonio Elio’s innovative contributions to cryptography. This is a batch of letters sent by Filiberto Ferrerio, Bishop of Ivrea and Apostolic Nuncio in France, between 1537 and 1540. The letters we consider were written from Paris in late October 1540, and they all bear the unmistakable cryptographic marks of Elio’s work for the Farnese chancery.

This document from the Vatican Archives (AA.Arm. XVIII. 6532) contains several segments of interlinear deciphered text. As part of the analysis of this letter and the cipher employed to encode it, we needed to reconstruct the original key. As shown in Figure 1, the enciphered parts consist of a mix of graphical cipher symbols with Latin letters. Our initial assumption was that the cipher was homophonic, with each alphabet letter represented by one or more cipher symbols and other cipher symbols representing elements of a nomenclature, such as words or proper names. We identified approximately 40 distinct cipher symbols, which usually hints at a simple cipher with a small number of homophones per letter of the alphabet. Some symbols have dots on top, and we also needed to determine their role in the enciphering and deciphering process.

Based on our experience extracting a cipher key from matching ciphertext-plaintext symbols, we expected that this analysis would take no more than an hour or two. However, it took two full days of intensive work to make initial inroads into the cipher and begin to understand its principles. Numerous additional hours were required to identify most of the elements of the cipher key. Before proceeding to our detailed analysis of the cipher and its principles in Section 4, we invite the curious reader to experiment and attempt to recover the key from the samples in Figures 1, 2, and 3 below. The ciphered passages are underlined.

---

<sup>5</sup> “*detto monsignor di quelle delli Imbasciatori ne ha deciferate assai, et che in questo genere è cosa grande quello che fa che l’ha visto qualche volta havere una cifra, et riserarsi in camera da per sè, et in*

*poco tempo ritrovarla et la par’ cosa miracolosa.”* (Bongianni Gianfigliuzzi to duke Cosimo, Rome, 10 June 1558 (Archivio di Stato di Firenze, Mediceo del Principato, f. 3278, 90)



ma a 15. May. 1722. di 115. giorni. per una 12. s. di  
 mi disse che erano cento mila scuti contanti.  
 a me liberamente se la arinta era così et avendomi che  
 lo sapera meglio di me no volli anchora <sup>rendermeli piu sospetto di</sup>  
 quello che li sono <sup>de R. S. tea lzo</sup> et li dissi et la cosa stava così parendo a s. M.  
 haustio mandalo anco li alla duopta  
 uolenti suoi insieme  
 magist. R. S. tea lzo et li dissi et la cosa stava così parendo a s. M.  
 facentome pero l'antore et conobbi et se mi va apparo  
 quale Banchero per voriammi con poco honor de spiri  
 Gianp. t. m. a. t. k. d. m. t. v. a. p. l. t. n. d. k. m. d. a. o. h. g. g. a. e. r. t. a. p. t. t.  
 m. l. t. q. d. i. o. et p. q. s. t. o. v. s. R. e. ma no si deve maravigliare se  
 le volte faccio troppa istantia et fora di proposito a chi no se  
 il pericolo de la mia licentia  
 a. u. i. m. x. s. i. q. r. l. a. n. q. u. l. d. k. g. q. y. p. r. z. b. i. t. q. u. a. s. u. l. f. a. t. t. o. d. e. m. i.  
 consiglio meglio <sup>gl. animi di quelle che poco amano et X. s. r. et</sup>  
 v. s. B. ma, <sup>g. y. p. n. e. l. a. m. d. g. y. n. o. t. k. o. z. o. t. r. a. z. n. h. t. z. i. n. q. a. z. b. i.</sup>  
 g. y. z. u. x. q. y. h. u. z. g. h. t. k. i. z. n. et no fa luy et cosa suspicio haurerom  
 p. r. a. c. c. h. o. y. et v. s. R. e. sa et p. v. o. b. e. d. i. a. l. v. i. <sup>parisco tueta questa</sup>  
 p. r. e. c. u. s. i. o. n. e. <sup>m. a. t. f. l. g. e. a. v.</sup>  
 m. l. t. f. l. g. e. a. v.

Il Ritorno di pelu no se fossi sia cosa fatta <sup>artificiosamente pe</sup>  
 mostrar che hanno rattuata qualche pratica della paer per far  
 m. l. t. q. z. e. l. g. y. h. a. g. y. e. x. c. o. t. m. a. g. y. g. e. g. i. l. d. m. l. t. g. h. o. d. t. k. R. e. p. q. d. o.  
 in q. m. t. o. il fatto loro  
 a. e. n. i. g. d. s. i. f. u. t. t. o. p. a. n. n. o.

Figure 3 - Third part



represent a special symbol or a digit with a dot above it.



As a result, the key of a polyphonic cipher is usually shorter and more compact than the key of a homophonic cipher. The polyphonic key can be memorized easily if it does not include a large nomenclature for words or names. Furthermore, as most entities are encoded with a single digit, ciphertexts tend to be shorter than ciphertexts with a homophonic digit cipher, where most entries are encoded with two-digit or three-digit codes.


While innovative, with more compact keys and ciphertexts of shorter lengths, polyphonic ciphers have several drawbacks. Firstly, polyphonic ciphers, such as the example above, are not difficult to break. For more details on a modern technique for the cryptanalysis of polyphonic ciphers, see (Lasry et al., 2020). Secondly, the work of the decipherer, even of a secretary who knows the key, may not be trivial. Because there is ambiguity in deciphering most digits, multiple options exist to decipher a segment of ciphertext, some of which may be plausible, and decipherment, even if the key is known, is not deterministic. Cipher secretaries in the 16th Century were expected to determine which decipherment options were correct based on context and their experience. To remove ambiguity in deciphering, diacritics such as dots were sometimes added (above or below the current digit or the preceding one) to indicate to the decipherer which of the two options should be chosen. Examples of deterministic and non-deterministic polyphonic ciphers are given in (Meister, 1906) and (Lasry et al., 2020).





#### 4. Antonio Elio's Innovative Cipher - our Analysis

In this section, we present our analysis of the cipher introduced in Section 2. Following days of intensive teamwork testing numerous hypotheses, we were able to understand how the cipher works, recover most of the key, and decipher those parts for which there was no matching plaintext. The cipher features several characteristics that make it unique, innovative, and hard, if not impossible, to break.

- **Syllabic:** Most of the enciphered text consists of symbols that encode consonant-vowel syllables, such as “ca” or “ni.” A few other symbols encode other types of syllables or letter combinations, or short words, such as “gno”, “nd”, or “che”.

- **Polyphonic:** Each symbol used to encode a syllable has a dual meaning. For example, the symbol  used to encode “de” also encodes the syllable “do”. The second choice (“do”) is indicated by adding a dot above the previous cipher symbol. Without the dot on the previous cipher symbol,  represents “de”.

Similarly,  represents “di” if a dot is above the previous symbol and “da” if there is no such dot. In theory, decipherment is deterministic. However, those dots are not consistently inserted; in other cases, they might not be visible. As a result, deciphering those symbols is often non-deterministic.

- **Compound symbols:** Using two digits or more (e.g., 23, 123) to encode a specific alphabet letter was customary with digit ciphers. Such compound cipher symbols were rare with non-digit ciphers, which employ graphical symbols or letters to encode plaintext entities. In the present cipher, compound symbols (pairs of graphical or letter symbols) encode some plaintext elements, making cryptanalysis significantly more challenging, as any symbol may be either a stand-alone symbol or part of a pair of symbols used in conjunction. For example,  represents “r” and  represents both “n” and “&”, while  and  alone represent “qua” and “que”. As a result, deciphering segments with such dual-use symbols may not be deterministic.

Each attribute adds to the cipher's complexity, but their combination is unique and unseen in other contemporary ciphers. Generally, consonant-vowel syllables and short words are encoded using single symbols. Compound symbols (using pairs of letters of the Latin alphabet) are used to encode individual letters of the alphabet (e.g., "a", "r") or pairs of consonants such as "nt" or "cr". As with most contemporary Italian ciphers, the letter "h", and any doubled letter are omitted before encryption. For example, "hoggi" is first reduced to "ogi" before being encrypted.

In Figure 5, we show the reconstructed key, where a dot (if present) indicates that the previous symbol had a dot on top. In Figure 6, we show a decipherment example, highlighting the correspondence between the cipher symbols and the elements of the deciphered plaintext. Note: In the colored boxes, a dot on the left indicated that the previous symbol had a dot on top (or was supposed to have one).

At the time we were about to finalize the paper, we discovered another ciphered document sent by the apostolic nuncio in Spain, Giovanni Guidiccioni, to Pope Paul III and the Farnese Curia, from 1535 to 1537, held in the Institute of History in Petersburg. Interestingly, recovering the key, based on matching plaintext sequences, of the cipher employed to encode this document was also quite challenging. The recovered key for this cipher is shown in Figure 7. This cipher has several features in common with the cipher from 1540, such as encoding syllables and using polyphonic symbols and compound symbols. It is also more complex than the cipher from 1540, as it is also a homophonic cipher, unlike the 1540 cipher, which has only one symbol per letter of the alphabet. Furthermore, the 1535-1537 cipher does not employ diacritics to disambiguate polyphones. This cipher further exemplifies the sophistication of cryptographic techniques employed during Elio's service at the papal curia.

## 5. Conclusion

The present work illustrates Antonio Elio's creative and original approach as a cryptographer. His work also exemplifies the advantage of expertise in both code-making and codebreaking, as only a deep understanding of potential weaknesses in codes can lead to the design of secure ciphers that would withstand cryptanalysis even today.

## Funding

The work of one of the authors has been supported by the Swedish Research Council, grant 2018-06074, DECRYPT – Decryption of Historical Manuscripts.

## References

- Byatt, L., 1993. "Antonio Elio", in *Dizionario Biografico degli Italiani*, vol. 42, Rome, Istituto dell'Enciclopedia Italiana.
- Meister, A., 1906. *Die Geheimschrift im Dienste der Päpstlichen Kurie von Ihren Anfängen bis zum Ende des XVI. Jahrhunderts*, vol. 11. Paderborn: F. Schöningh.
- Lasry, G., B. Megyesi, and N. Kopal, 2020. "Deciphering Papal Ciphers from the 16th to the 18th Century," *Cryptologia*, 2020, pp. 479–540.
- Lasry, G., 2023. "Armand de Bourbon's Poly-Homophonic Cipher – 1649," in Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Historical Cryptology, 2023, pp. 105–112.
- Lestocquoy, J., 1966. *Correspondance des nonces en France. Dandino, Della Torre et Trivultio (1546-1551)*, Roma-Paris, Gregoriana- Boccard.
- Sacco, L., 1958. *Un primato italiano: la crittografia nei secoli XV e XVI*. Istituto storico e di cultura dell'arma del Genio.

A	E	I	L	N	O	R	S	V
gy	yg	ae	ui	fr	ea	rt	zb	iu
Consonant-Vowel Syllables								
a	BA	7	DU	1	MU	6	RO	
e	BE	g	FA	p	NA	u	RU	
i	BI	g	FI	o	NE	z	SA	
e	BO	l	GA	p	NI	f	SE	
c	CA	l	GI	o	NO	z	SI	
o	CE	u	GNO	s	NU	f	SO	
u	CHE	L	LA	u	PA	L	SU	
t	CHI	s	LE	m	PE	g	TA	
c	CI	L	LI	u	PI	d	TE	
o	CO	s	LO	m	PO	g	TI	
s	CU	p	LU	t	QUA	d	TO	
u	DA	n	MA	k	QUE	p	TU	
e	DE	q	ME	x	RA	z	ZI	
u	DI	n	MI	6	RE	6	ZO	
e	DO	q	MO	x	RI			
Nomenclature								
fr	&	6z	FR	ja	ND	11	PR	
iu	CR	aj	GL	yg	NT	17	TR	

Figure 5 - Reconstructed key - 1540 cipher



Figure 6 - Sample decipherment

<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>H</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>O</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>QU</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>Z</b>	<b>&amp;</b>
f	of	x	ag	b	y	oh	&	l	kb	kd	kg	m	ol	9	ik	ok	uk	z	ux	uz
s				h				n				q								
				r																

ca	cf		da			na	ib		pa			ra	ig		sa	in		ta						
			de	}	d		ne	ic	pe	}	p		re	ih		se	io		te	}	t			
			di				ni	id	pi				ri	il		si	ip		so			iq		ti
			do				no	if	po				ro	im										to
			du						pu															tu

bc	Vostra Santità								ka	in					ya	mandare
bd	L'Imperatore								kb	con					yo	avere
be	Sua Maestà Cesarea								kd	che					yp	avendo
bf	il re								ke	per					y9	volendo
									kh	quanto (a-, -i, -e)						
ch	Cardinale								kk	perché						
ci	Signor (-a, -i, -e)								kl	questo (-a, -i, -e)						
cl	Oratore								kn	tanto (-a, -i, -e)						
cm	Reverendissimo								ko	tutto (-a, -i, -e)						
cu	Genova								kr	quello (-a, -i, -e)						
									ks	quale (-i)						
									ku	-mente						


Nulle: a à e : 

Figure 7 – Reconstructed key for a similar cipher from 1535-1537